Full Annual Education Report

School-Level Student Assessment Data for Monroe ISD, Dundee Community Schools, Dundee Community High School

Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Student	School	% Students	State %	District %	School %	%	%	% Partially	% Not
Group	Year	Tested	Students	Students	Students	Advanced	Proficient	Proficient	Proficient
			Proficient	Proficient	Proficient	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	(Level 4)
No records to display.									

Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Language Arts / Reading									

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Grade: 11									
All Students	2008-09	97.1%	59.9%	57%	62.4%	1%	61.4%	23.8%	13.9%
All Students	2009-10	100%	65.2%	73%	75.2%	4.4%	70.8%	16.8%	8%
Female	2008-09	98.4%	64.2%	64.2%	66.1%	1.6%	64.5%	25.8%	8.1%
Female	2009-10	100%	68%	78.1%	77.6%	5.2%	72.4%	17.2%	5.2%
Male	2008-09	95.1%	55.5%	46.8%	56.4%	0%	56.4%	20.5%	23.1%
Male	2009-10	100%	62.3%	67.7%	72.7%	3.6%	69.1%	16.4%	10.9%
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	37.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
American Indian or Alaska Native	2009-10	<10	59.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	74.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	97.1%	66.1%	57%	62.4%	1%	61.4%	23.8%	13.9%
White	2009-10	100%	71.5%	73%	74.5%	4.5%	70%	17.3%	8.2%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	100%	23.5%	38.5%	38.5%	0%	38.5%	30.8%	30.8%
Students	2009-10	<10	23.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
with Disabilities									
Economically Disadvantage		100%	42.4%	60%	70.6%	5.9%	64.7%	11.8%	17.6%
Economically Disadvantage		100%	48.5%	66.7%	70%	0%	70%	15%	15%

Mathematics

Grade: 11

All Students	2008-09	97.1%	49.3%	37.7%	41.6%	5%	36.6%	20.8%	37.6%
All Students	2009-10	100%	50.4%	46.8%	52.2%	4.4%	47.8%	15.9%	31.9%
Female	2008-09	98.4%	47.2%	37.3%	38.7%	4.8%	33.9%	27.4%	33.9%
Female	2009-10	100%	48.3%	45.3%	50%	3.4%	46.6%	17.2%	32.8%
Male	2008-09	95.1%	51.5%	38.3%	46.2%	5.1%	41%	10.3%	43.6%
Male	2009-10	100%	52.5%	48.4%	54.5%	5.5%	49.1%	14.5%	30.9%
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	16.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
American Indian or Alaska Native	2009-10	<10	38.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native	2009-10	<10	72.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander									
White	2008-09	97.1%	56.5%	37.7%	41.6%	5%	36.6%	20.8%	37.6%
White	2009-10	100%	57.9%	46.7%	51.8%	4.5%	47.3%	16.4%	31.8%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	100%	10.2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7.7%	92.3%
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	11.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantage	4	100%	28.9%	45%	52.9%	17.6%	35.3%	17.6%	29.4%
Economically Disadvantage	4	100%	30.4%	37.5%	45%	0%	45%	15%	40%

MI-Access

Functional Independence

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
English Lang	uage Arts							
Grade: 11								
All Students	2009-10	<10	89.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	90.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	89.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	93.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 11	2000 10	Lao	71.00	I .10	L.10	T.10	Lao	Lao
All Students Female	2009-10 2009-10	<10 <10	71.8% 65.3%	<10 <10	<10 <10	<10 <10	<10 <10	<10 <10
Male	2009-10	<10	75.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	78.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science Grade: 11	2007 10	100	10.270		100			
All Students	2009-10	<10	70.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	67.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Male	2009-10	<10	73%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	78.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Supported Independence

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
No records to display.								

Participation

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
No records to display.								

MEAP-Access

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3
No records to display.								

2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Detail Reporting for Monroe ISD, Dundee Community Schools, Dundee Community High School

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
All Students		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.1%	93.9%
Mathematics	98.9%	93.7%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.6%	95.9%
Mathematics	99.5%	93%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	97.5%	95.1%
Mathematics	97.5%	78.6%
Black or African American		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	97.7%	88.4%
Mathematics	97.4%	88%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
American Indian or Alaska Native		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.2%	93.2%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Mathematics	99%	92.4%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
State English Language Arts / Reading	99.5%	96.8%
English Language Arts / Reading	99.5%	96.8%
Mathematics	99.6%	97.5%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Hispanic or Latino		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.3%	91.3%
Mathematics	98.9%	92.4%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
White		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.4%	95.3%
Mathematics	99.3%	95.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.4%	96.2%
Mathematics	99.3%	92.9%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	97.4%	95.1%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Mathematics	97.4%	78.4%
Multiracial		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.4%	93.5%
Mathematics	102.3%	94.3%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	123.6%	86.9%
Mathematics	126.3%	92.3%
Students with Disabilities		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	73.1%
Mathematics	102.2%	76.5%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	100%	76%
Mathematics	100.9%	72.4%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	90.6%
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	90.6%
Mathematics	102.5%	91.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	100.7%	94.8%
Mothematica		94.0%
Mathematics	100.7%	91.7%
	100.7%	
School English Language Arts / Reading	<30	

Note: 467 Recently arrived LEP students took part in the State's ELPA instead of the MEAP/MME/MI-Access.

* AYP Targets (Annual Measurable Objectives)

Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
All Students
State
75.39%
District
75.38%
School
90.53%
Black or African American
State
56.59%
District
<10
American Indian or Alaska Native

Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)		
State		
65%		
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander		
State		
84.47%		
District		
<10		
School		
<10		
Hispanic or Latino		
State		
59.94%		
White		

	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
State		
	81.85%	
District		
	76.38%	
School		
	90.43%	
Multiracial		
State		
	71.12%	
Limited English Proficient		
State		
	65.51%	
Students with Disabilities		

	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
State		
	57.61%	
District		
	58.33%	
School		
	63.64%	
Economically Disadvantaged		
State		
	59.8%	
District		
	50%	
School		
	81.82%	

	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
All Students		
State		
	94.7%	
District		
	95.1%	
School		
	94.7%	
Black or African American		
State		
	91%	
District		
	92.8%	
School		
	88.2%	

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
nerican Indian or Alaska Native	
ate	
93.7%	
strict	
95.5%	
ian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
ate	
96.5%	
strict	
93.4%	
hool	
95.2%	
spanic or Latino	

	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
State		
	94.1%	
District		
	95.1%	
School		
	99.4%	
White		
State		
	95.7%	
District		
	95.1%	
School		
	94.7%	
Multiracial		

	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
State		
	94.8%	
Limited English Proficient		
State		
	94.6%	
Students with Disabilities		
State		
	93.5%	
District		
	94.2%	
School		
	94.1%	
Economically Disadvantaged		

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)					
State					
	94.8%				
District					
	94.7%				
School					
	94.4%				

^{*} All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Reading/ELA

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		38%			31%		42%
2002-03		38%			31%		42%
2003-04		38%			31%		42%
2004-05		48%			43%		52%
2005-06	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%

^{**} More information regarding AYP can be found at the following link: http://www.michigan.gov/mde/0,1607,7-140-22709 22875---,00.html

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2006-07	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2007-08	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2008-09	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2009-10	70%	69%	68%	67%	66%	65%	71%
2010-11	78%	77%	76%	75%	74%	73%	79%
2011-12	86%	85%	84%	83%	82%	82%	86%
2012-13	93%	92%	92%	91%	91%	91%	93%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Mathematics

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		47%				31%	33%
2002-03		47%				31%	33%
2003-04		47%				31%	33%
2004-05		56%				43%	44%
2005-06	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2006-07	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2007-08	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2008-09	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2009-10	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2010-11	75%	74%	71%	70%	67%	66%	67%
2011-12	83%	82%	81%	80%	78%	77%	78%
2012-13	91%	91%	90%	90%	89%	89%	89%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Status Reporting for Monroe ISD, Dundee Community Schools, Dundee Community High School

School AYP Status

Title 1 Status	AYP ELA/Reading Status	AYP Mathematics Status	AYP Overall Status	Education Yes Report Card Grade	School Improvement Status	Years in Improvement
No	Met	Met	Met	В	N/A	0

December, 2009 School-Level Teacher Quality Reporting for Monroe ISD, Dundee Community Schools, Dundee Community High School

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	Ph.D
Professional	0	12	20	0
Qualifications of All				
Public Elementary and				
Secondary School				
Teachers in the School				

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0%
	School Aggregate
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary	0%
School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	

Michigan Report Card for the National Assessment of Educational Progress

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	22	43	30	5
Male	50	22	41	30	7
Female	50	22	45	29	4
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	43 56 ‡	36 11 ‡	47 40 ‡	16 40 ‡	1 9 ‡
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian Amer/Pacif Isl American Indian Unclassified	71 20 5 3 1	14 52 29 13 ‡	43 39 51 32 ‡	37 9 19 36 ‡	6 0 1 19 ‡
Student classified as		*	*	*	*

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	42 19	39 44	17 31	2 6
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	_	48 21	40 43	11 31	1 5

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	32	37	24	7
Male	51	31	37	24	8
Female	49	33	38	24	5
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	38 62 ‡	50 21 ‡	37 38 ‡	12 31 ‡	1 10 ‡
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian Amer/Pacif Isl American Indian Unclassified	74 18 4 2 1 ‡	23 68 38 11 ‡	40 27 45 30 ‡	29 4 15 31 ‡	8 1 2 28 ‡
Student classified as					

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	75 27	22 39	2 27	1 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	58 32	32 37	10 24	0 7

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	34	23	6
Male	50	39	35	21	5
Female	50	32	34	26	8
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	43 57 #	52 24 ‡	33 36 ‡	13 31 ‡	2 10 ‡
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian Amer/Pacif Isl American Indian Unclassified	5	28 65 49 21 ‡	36 26 34 37 ‡	28 7 15 25 ‡	8 1 2 17 ‡
Student classified as					

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	66 32	24 36	8 25	3 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	65 35	26 35	9 24	1 7

[#] Rounds to zero

NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	28	41	28	3
Male	51	33	42	23	2
Female	49	23	41	32	4
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	37 62 ‡	44 18 ‡	41 42 ‡	14 36 ‡	1 4 ‡
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian Amer/Pacif Isl American Indian	74 18 4 2	21 54 40 ‡	32 37 34 ‡	32 9 24 ‡	3 # 2 ‡ ‡
Unclassified	1	† ‡	‡	† ‡	† ‡

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91		22 43	4 30	# 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	60 27	33 42	8 28	# 3

[#] Rounds to zero

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for IEP Students	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math Reading			81.98 72.01			3.063 3.53
8	Math Reading			76.21 70.46			4.12 4.505

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.